Clinical Practice Guidelines for Antimicrobial-Loaded Cements and Beads in the Treatment of Infected Devices in Orthopedic Trauma

Aaron B. Epperson, MS, Mohamed E. Awad, MD, MBA, Nicholas A. Alfonso, MD, Jason W. Stoneback, MD
Department of Orthopedic Surgery, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO
Email: aaron.epperson@cuanschutz.edu

Disclosures: None.

INTRODUCTION: The utilization of implants within orthopedic surgery establishes a milieu conducive to bacterial adherence, biofilm formation, and subsequent infection development. Treatment of these infections often requires stability augmentation and dead-space management with anti-microbial loaded bone cements (ABCs). The growing incidence of antimicrobial resistance has necessitated the exploration of alternative antibiotic medications, both as targeted and as broad-spectrum ABCs. The following will detail the most extensive and all-encompassing summary of antimicrobial choice and dosage for ABCs. It will also include information, when available, regarding the elution kinetics of various drugs discussed when applied with dissolvable calcium sulfate (StimulanTM), dissolvable calcium sulfate *plus* calcium phosphate (Cerament GTM), non-dissolvable SimplexTM High Viscosity (HV) (non-medicated Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA)), or non-dissolvable SimplexTM P (PMMA loaded with Tobramycin 1 gram). The present study has the following objectives with regard to structure and content: 1) to present our institutional protocol for the administration of a wide range of ABCs in the treatment of osteosynthesis-associated infections (OAI), 2) to provide practical instructions for the most efficacious methods for mixing the cement and antimicrobials, 3) give options for the combination of two or more antibiotics, and 4) to demonstrate meaningful clinical decision-making guidance for orthopedic surgeons in approaching the management of these complex infections.

METHODS: In establishing our own institutional protocol, we reviewed and compiled relevant dosing, efficacy, and elution profiles from 74 articles published between 1976 and 2019. Then, we elucidated first-line and targeted therapies against rare and resistant bacteria and drug therapies not recommended due to excessive cytotoxicity or poor delivery kinetics.

RESULTS: We compiled a list of thirty-two antibiotics and three antifungals that have proven successful in managing OAI, including infections with numerous recalcitrant and multidrug-resistant species. Of these antibiotics, twelve are explicitly targeted at eradicating gram-positive bacteria; eighteen are for empiric, broad-spectrum use, and two (isoniazid and streptomycin) are expressly targeted at treating rare *mycobacterium tuberculosis* OAI. The three antifungals (amphotericin B, fluconazole, and voriconazole) target the complication of fungal infection.^{2,3}

We provide optimized ratios of carrier to antimicrobial for each of the delivery methods, including tobramycin-loaded SimplexTM P bone cement, nonmedicated SimplexTM HV, StimulanTM dissolvable calcium sulfate beads, and Cerament GTM dissolvable calcium sulfate *plus* calcium phosphate beads. When available, the various antibiotics' elution and efficacy profiles are described in our protocol.

DISCUSSION: The results of this study highlight the salience of antibiotic utilization in treating OAI. While we provide first-line treatment modalities for use in targeted therapy against gram-positives and broad-spectrum empiric therapy, this paper also supports the necessity for a regimen tailored to the specific pathogens and sensitivities and provides a dosage guide for their use. Lastly, we outline the delivery methods compatible with each drug. These results encapsulate what, to our knowledge, are the most comprehensive clinical practice guidelines for antibiotic- and antifungal-loaded bone cements and beads to treat infected implants. These recommendations are based on literature support through *in vitro*, *in vivo*, or case studies. With the everevolving propensity of bacteria to develop antibiotic resistance, these recommendations are dynamic; some are limited by the state of the antibiotic profile at the time of elucidation.

SIGNIFICANCE/CLINICAL RELEVANCE: This technique guide will provide meaningful clinical improvement in managing orthopedic implant infections. Clinicians will benefit from a centralized source for dosing and route of administration for both empiric and targeted therapy.

REFERENCES:

- 1) Okike, K. and T. Bhattacharyya. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 2006.
- 2) Masri et al. J Arthroplasty, 1998.
- 3) McPherson et al. Reconstructive Review, 2013.

IMAGES AND TABLES:

