

On the Horizon From the ORS

Using Mathematical Modeling to Design Effective Regenerative Medicine Strategies for Orthopaedics

Douglas D. Robertson, MD, PhD
Gulshan B. Sharma, PhD, MBA
Barbara D. Boyan, PhD

From the Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences, Division of Musculoskeletal Imaging, Emory Orthopaedics and Spine Center, Emory School of Medicine, Emory University, and the Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology College of Engineering, Atlanta, GA (Dr. Robertson), the Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada (Dr. Sharma), and Virginia Commonwealth University, School of Engineering, Richmond, VA (Dr. Boyan).

J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2016;24:e18-e19

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-15-00621>

Copyright 2015 by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons.

The acceleration of scientific discovery in orthopaedic regenerative medicine and its transformation into bedside application require a paradigm shift in computational methods and strategies. Computational modeling must move from static simulations to adaptive methods that predict integrative physiology and physics from the molecular scale to human body scale. Computational methods have been extensively used to study musculoskeletal systems and go hand in hand with experimental studies. However, computational methods have primarily modeled a moment in time and not the adaptive response to altered environments produced by mechanical or biologic intervention. Computational strategies must be developed that integrate biologic remodeling rules and predict how musculoskeletal systems will respond over time. As we better understand musculoskeletal systems, such as those of the knee, from an organic perspective, models incorporating bone, cartilage, ligament, and muscle must be multiscale. They must integrate physiology and physics principles as well as multibody conditions to predict response at the molecular, cellular, tissue, organ, body, and population levels.

Recent work has shown progress using such methods. Adaptive (predictive) bone remodeling simulations have been applied to arthroplasty and drug intervention.^{1,2} Modeling of articular and fibrocartilaginous structures has improved our understanding of the mechanical conditions and tissue responses created by physiologic and pathologic loading.^{3,4} Muscle and ligament model-

ing has provided insight into muscle loads and ligament strains in traumatic and atraumatic conditions.⁵ Combined multibody knee simulations incorporating bone, cartilage, muscle, and ligament have been used to evaluate structural and functional adaptation produced by joint pathology and surgical intervention.^{6,7}

Adaptive multiscale computational methods and strategies are complex and vary depending on what is being studied. These simulations produce large data-rich sets, which must be manipulated and analyzed. The efficient use of these data are aided by principal component analysis and machine learning approaches, such as support vector machines or neural networks.⁸ This integrative analysis environment can be used to create patient-specific evaluations and treatments,⁹ including rapid prototyping and manufacturing for custom orthopaedic devices¹⁰ and personalized rehabilitation protocols that include advanced sensor technology (eg, inertial sensors) for specific feedback.¹¹

The importance of multiscale modeling is highlighted by the formation in 2003 of the Interagency Modeling and Analysis Group Multiscale Modeling Consortium. This coalition of 10 government agencies in the United States and Canada, includes the National Institutes of Health, the National Science Foundation, the Department of Energy, and the Food and Drug Administration and promotes the development and funding of biomedical systems, with a particular emphasis on multiscale

modeling.¹² The mission of the consortium is to develop accurate methods and algorithms to cross the interface between multiple spatio-temporal scales and to disseminate the models and insights derived from the models to the larger biomedical research communities. To share ideas among national and international research groups, the Multiscale Modeling Consortium organized a special journal issue on “Multi-scale Modeling and Simulation of Biological Systems,”¹³ and the Orthopaedic Research Society (ORS) sponsored a workshop, “Multi-scale Adaptive Remodeling Simulations in Musculoskeletal Regenerative Medicine.”¹⁴

Adaptive multiscale computational methodologies and strategies are required to address the complexity of orthopaedic disease and its prevention and treatment. Together with experimental studies the new modeling strategies provide a framework to significantly advance orthopaedic medicine, improve quality of life and outcomes, and reduce healthcare costs.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance and support of Grace

Peng, PhD, National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bio-engineering, NIH, Bethesda, MD.

References

References printed in **bold type** are those published within the past 5 years.

1. Sharma GB, Robertson DD: Adaptive scapula bone remodeling computational simulation: Relevance to regenerative medicine. *J Comput Phys* 2013;244: 312-320.
2. Yu W, Wang C, Liu X: A microscale bone remodeling simulation method considering the influence of medicine and the impact of strain on osteoblast cells. *Finite Elem Anal Des* 2015;104:16-25.
3. Erdemir A, Bennetts C, Davis S, Reddy A, Sibole S: Multiscale cartilage biomechanics: Technical challenges in realizing a high-throughput modelling and simulation workflow. *Interface Focus* 2015;5(2): 20140081.
4. Freutel M, Schmidt H, Dürselen L, Ignatius A, Galbusera F: Finite element modeling of soft tissues: Material models, tissue interaction and challenges. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2014;29(4): 363-372.
5. Bei Y, Fregly BJ: Multibody dynamic simulation of knee contact mechanics. *Med Eng Phys* 2004;26(9):777-789.
6. Fernandez JW, Pandy MG: Integrating modelling and experiments to assess dynamic musculoskeletal function in humans. *Exp Physiol* 2006;91(2): 371-382.
7. Hicks JL, Uchida TK, Seth A, Rajagopal A, Delp SL: Is my model good enough? Best practices for verification and validation of musculoskeletal models and simulations of movement. *J Biomech Eng* 2015;137(2): 020905.
8. Lu Y, Pulasani PR, Derakhshani R, Guess TM: Application of neural networks for the prediction of cartilage stress in a musculoskeletal system. *Biomed Signal Process Control* 2013;8 (6):475-482.
9. Martins M, Santos C, Costa L, Frizzera A: Feature reduction and multi-classification of different assistive devices according to the gait pattern. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol* 2015; Sept 4:1-17.
10. Schubert C, van Langeveld MC, Donoso LA: Innovations in 3D printing: A 3D overview from optics to organs. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2014;98(2):159-161.
11. Sprager S, Juric MB: Inertial Sensor-Based Gait Recognition: A Review. *Sensors (Basel)* 2015;15(9):22089-22127.
12. NIH: *Interagency Modeling and Analysis Group (IMAG)*. Available at: <http://www.imagwiki.nibib.nih.gov/>. Accessed October 21, 2015.
13. Lin CL, Peng GC, Karniadakis G: Multi-scale Modeling and Simulation of Biological Systems. *Journal of Computational Physics. Special Issue*, 2013;(244) pp 1-3.
14. ORS: *New Horizon Workshop: Multi-Scale Adaptive Remodeling Simulations in Musculoskeletal Regenerative Medicine*. Available at: <http://www.ors.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/ORS-2014-Annual-Meeting-Program-Book.pdf>. Accessed October 21, 2015.

Dr. Robertson or an immediate family member serves as a paid consultant to or is an employee of Medtronic and Zimmer; has received research or institutional support from GE Medical Systems and Shire; and serves as a board member, owner, officer, or committee member of the Orthopaedic Research Society. Dr. Boyan or an immediate family member is a member of a speakers' bureau or has made paid presentations on behalf of Titan Spine; serves as a paid consultant to or is an employee of Cartiva, Exactech, Johnson & Johnson, the National Institutes of Health (National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases and National Institute of Child Health and Human Development) and Titan Spine; serves as an unpaid consultant to Institut Straumann AG and the Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation; has stock or stock options held in Cartiva, MedShape Solutions, Arthrocare, Carticept Medical, and SpherIngenics; has received research or institutional support from the Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation; has received nonincome support (such as equipment or services), commercially derived honoraria, or other non-research-related funding (such as paid travel) from Titan Spine; and serves as a board member, owner, officer, or committee member of International Conferences on the Chemistry and Biology of Mineralized Tissue, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and the American Association for Dental Research. Neither Dr. Sharma nor any immediate family member has received anything of value from or has stock or stock options held in a commercial company or institution related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.