ICM 2025 Question B5: "What are the best preclinical models of orthopaedic infection for the evaluation of therapeutic efficacy?"

Jessica Amber Jennings, Ezzuddin Abuhussein, Louise Kruse-Jensen, T. Fintan Moriarty, Javad Parvizi, Edward M. Schwarz & Claudia Siverino

---

RESPONSE/RECOMMENDATION: There are multiple preclinical models of therapeutic efficacy. These must include controls, quantification of the pathogenic inoculum, evidence of infection prior to treatment, and quantification of the pathogenic burden at the prospective endpoint. Superior studies also include statistically powered longitudinal outcomes (e.g. radiology, serology, bioluminescent imaging) and ex vivo analyses (e.g. histology, microbiology, biochemistry, molecular biology).

ANIMAL	MUST HAVE	CAN ENHANCE RIGOR
Mouse	Microbiological assessments Standardized inoculation Histology	Radiography Longitudinal bioluminescent imaging Electron microscopy Molecular analyses
Rat	Microbiological assessments Radiography Histology	Tissue antibiotic concentrations Cytokine levels (IL-6, TNF-α) Micro-CT
Rabbit	Microbiological assessments Radiography Histology	Micro-CT Weight Mortality Systemic concentration of antibiotics
Pig	Microbiological assessments Histology	Imaging techniques Serum biomarkers
Sheep	Microbiological assessment Radiography Routine clinical examination Histology	Hematology Serum biomarkers

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Strong

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: [38/95% vote], Disagree: [0/0%], Abstain: [2/5%]

RATIONALE: We interpreted "best" to imply most ethical, rigorous, and reproducible. As there are no validated in silico (computational) or in vitro "preclinical models of orthopaedic infection" we only considered non-human vertebrae animal models. A comprehensive literature search was conducted using the search words ""Prosthesis-Related Infections, orthopaedic infection, osteomyelitis, joint infection, PJI, Animal Experimentation, treatment efficacy, therapeutic evaluation, biofilm eradication" within PubMed and Embase, which initially identifed 1749 potentially relevant unique studies, screened by two independent reviewers, of which 422 were selected for full-text review and 168 were included for evaluation. To focus on the question of "therapy efficacy" only primary research articles were included, and all studies that did not have an outcome measure to confirm establishment of chronic infection followed by randomization into control vs. treatment group(s) were excluded (animal modelling and prophylactic treatment studies

were excluded). We also deemed that contemporary/state-of-the-art methodologies are the "best", and thus excluded paper published prior to 2010.

Mouse Models: Eleven publications that met the inclusion criteria used murine models[1-11]. All studies evaluated *S. aureus* bone infection. Inoculations ranged from 10^3 to 10^8 CFU administered via a contaminated implant (n=8), or direct injection into the blood, joint, or bone marrow. All studies enumerated CFU on implants and bone tissues. Most studies used bioluminescent strains (Xen29, Xen36, USA300) and monitored the infection longitudinally via bioluminescent imaging (BLI). Two studies used BLI to randomize the mice to treatment (n=10). Most studies used micro-CT as an outcome measure of osteolysis. Most studies performed H&E, Gram, and TRAP-stained histology to confirm the infection, quantify abscesses, and osteoclasts, respectively. Some studies used electron microscopy to assess biofilm on implants and within the osteocyte lacuno-canalicular network (OLCN) of infected bone. Few studies weighed the mice or performed serology, hematology, or molecular analyses. One study used a transgenic mouse to quantify green fluorescent protein positive myeloid cells as an outcome. Taken together, the "best" murine models to assess treatment efficacy use a standardized inoculation of bioluminescent bacteria and perform longitudinal BLI to assess in vivo growth and to randomize mice to treatment groups. They also perform ex vivo studies at prospective endpoints to quantify CFU on the implant and bone tissues, quantify osteolysis via micro-CT, and histomorphometry to assess bacterial biofilms, bone, bone cells and immune cells.

Rat Models: Fifty publications utilizing rat models met the inclusion criteria and were included in the review [12-59]. The two primary rat species used were Wistar and Sprague Dawley rats. Among the studies, 66% used male rats, 18% used female rats, and 8% did not report the sex of the rats. The most common defect sites were the femur and tibia, along with their respective intramedullary channels. Notably, only one study focused on the spine [44], while a few examined the knee joints [22; 28; 55; 56]. The studies primarily utilized pin models in the tibia or femur, alongside fracture models that were fixed with stainless steel Kirschner wires (K-wires). Only a limited number of studies employed stainless steel plates for fracture fixation [28; 41; 58]. Other types of implants included polyetheretherketone (PEEK) screws [14; 42; 52; 53] and cement-coated rods [44]. The predominant microorganism tested was *Staphylococcus aureus*, with 88% of the studies using either methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) or methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA). Ten percent of the studies involved *S. epidermidis*, and only one study utilized both [13]. *S. epidermidis* was mainly used in rat models with PEEK screws [14; 52; 53]. The initial inoculation of bacteria ranged from 10^3 to 10^8 CFU per animal. Infection was established by either inoculating the bacterial solution directly into the defect or intramedullary channel, or by inserting a pre-inoculated pin or K-wire into the defect site. The inoculation volume varied between 10 to 100 µL. As an outcome measure, all studies included bacteriological assessments of the retrieved tissue to enumerate bacterial survival. However, not all studies conducted a comprehensive bacteriology assessment, as some did not analyze all the tissues involved or failed to report the exact methodology used for quantification. Radiography and micro-CT were frequently employed to evaluate bone healing or bone resorption. Histopathological analyses were also conducted to assess the presence of bacteria and/or immune cell responses. A limited number of studies quantified antibiotic concentrations in the serum, bone, and intramedullary space. Additionally, cytokine levels, such as IL-6 or TNF-α, were measured in the serum of the infected animals.

Rabbit Models: Twelve publications used rabbit models, primarily with New Zealand White rabbits due to their docility and similarity to humans in their reaction to disease and medications. The most common defect site was the tibia, due to not requiring internal or external fixation. Less common sites included the radius[60], femur[61; 62] and the mandible[63; 64]. The most common microorganism was MRSA, followed by MSSA, with fewer studies investigating gram negative microorganisms like Klebsiella pneumoniae[65] and E. coli.[66] Implants used to initiate implant-associated infection included K-wires, Jamshidi needles, and custom made titanium implants, with inoculation amounts ranging from 10^5 to 10^9 CFU. In addition to bacteriology, radiography scoring has been established as an

effective measure of bone healing, although there is some debate about the most appropriate scoring systems.[67] Histomorphometric scoring is also common to assess healing and inflammation in the defect site. Some studies measured blood markers, MicroCT, weight, mortality, and systemic concentration of antibiotics as additional outcome measures. The "best" rabbit models include at minimum bacteriology, an established radiographic scoring system, and an established histomorphometric scoring system.

Pig Models: Four publications that met the inclusion criteria used porcine models[25; 68-70]. All used immature, female pigs of ~40 kg body weight. The bone infection was established by 10^4 CFU of *S. aureus* via direct injection or together with insertion of a small non-functional steel implant. Bone infection development was confirmed after 7 days by microbiological analyses of infected bone tissue and sonication of implants. Following the therapeutic intervention period and euthanasia the exact same analyses was conducted. This allowed CFU reduction of the established infection to be the primary outcome for assessing therapeutic efficacy. This approach was feasible as a reliable human-scale revision surgery has been included in all tested therapeutic interventions of pigs[25; 68; 69]. Due to the size of pigs, intravital imaging of the infection development is difficult. If revision surgery is not a part of the therapeutic intervention the infection development should be confirmed by other analyses like imaging techniques and euthanasia of infection controls. Like sheep, pigs allow robust preclinical testing of treatment modalities included in one-stage revision of osteomyelitis, such as surgical approaches, introduction of biomaterials, implant coatings, and plastic surgery. Due to the increased use of sheep and pigs in bone infection research, there should be even more focus on obtaining better knowledge about their bone physiology, immune response and metabolism of antimicrobials in comparison to humans.

Sheep Models: Five publications that met the inclusion criteria used sheep models. Four of these studies used female sheep, and it was not reported in the fifth. Three studies used the tibia (all with intramedullary nails, but without creating fractures or osteotomy) and 2 in the femur (one study used a prosthetic hip stem, and one study used a cylindrical stainless-steel plug 20 mm long and 8 mm in diameter). All studies evaluated *S. aureus* bone infection, either MSSA or MRSA. No bioluminescent strains were used in any study. Inocula ranged from 10^7 to 10^9 CFU, added either as a liquid suspension to the intramedullary channel or implant or deposited on a collagen fleece and inserted into the intramedullary channel adjacent to the implant. All studies performed a microbiological assessment, four of them provided quantitative results, while one study cultured and identified the bacteria without quantifying bacterial load. All studies used radiography to evaluate bone changes due to infection. Only one study used histopathology to assess inflammation (acute and chronic), bone necrosis, and new bone formation.[71] No sheep study used histopathology to identify bacteria in tissues. All studies performed a routine and regular clinical examination of observations such as weight and behavior changes, limping, and local signs of infection. Three studies used a dedicated scoring system, although it was not fully described. [72-74] The remaining two did not disclose if a scoring system was used to monitor animal welfare. Hematology was also performed. In one study, WBC, CRP, and ESR were measured but discontinued due to lack of correlation with infection status.[74] Similarly, Foster et al. did not measure hematological markers for the same reason. Alegrete et al. performed regular hematology assessments including WBC and CRP, with again WBC not yielding differential results based on infection status, however, this study did show CRP to differ between groups.[71] Boot et al and Nakahara et al did not present any hematology data [71; 75].Due to the small number of sheep studies, it is challenging to identify the best model. It is not entirely clear why histopathology is less common in sheep models compared to smaller animals; however, it may be linked to the large area across which the infection is present, and the difficulty in identifying the real nidus of the infection. In the only sheep study where the infection was localized to a preformed defect, and thus easily identifiable, histopathology was performed. Another improvement needed for the sheep models is to identify improved blood biomarkers to monitor disease progression or infection

burden. Animal welfare is reliant on clinical observations such as general behavior, lameness, and wound appearance.

For all the animal models described above, to meet the 3R standards, adequate power to detect significant differences in treatment effects are essential. For murine animal models, the number of animals per group ranged from 3 - 20 with the median being 10. With larger animal models, costs of animals and care must be balanced with including enough animals to detect treatment effects. For rabbits, animal number per group ranged from 3 to 23, with the median being 12. For pigs and sheep, animal numbers per group ranged from 3 to 10, with the median being 6. An overwhelming majority of the studies only included single sex of animal, making it difficult to determine whether sex differences exist in treatment strategies for MSKI.

The "best" studies also describe measures to minimize bias in outcome evaluation, including balancing of infection status at treatment start, randomization of animals into treatment groups, and blinding of treatment groups to both surgeons at the time of intervention and outcome evaluators. Quality assessment of the extracted articles determined that 60% of studies had adequate randomization described, 48% of studies had appropriate descriptions of blinded evaluators of outcome, and only 25% described blinding of the surgeon to treatment group.

References

1. Inzana JA, Schwarz EM, Kates SL, et al. 2015. A novel murine model of established Staphylococcal bone infection in the presence of a fracture fixation plate to study therapies utilizing antibiotic-laden spacers after revision surgery. Bone 72:128-136.
2. Inzana JA, Trombetta RP, Schwarz EM, et al. 2015. 3D printed bioceramics for dual antibiotic delivery to treat implant-associated bone infection. Eur Cell Mater 30:232-247.
3. Corrado A, Donato P, Maccari S, et al. 2016. Staphylococcus aureus-dependent septic arthritis in murine knee joints: local immune response and beneficial effects of vaccination. Sci Rep 6:38043.
4. Jorgensen NP, Skovdal SM, Meyer RL, et al. 2016. Rifampicin-containing combinations are superior to combinations of vancomycin, linezolid and daptomycin against Staphylococcus aureus biofilm infection in vivo and in vitro. Pathog Dis 74:ftw019.
5. Hu Y, Hegde V, Johansen D, et al. 2017. Combinatory antibiotic therapy increases rate of bacterial kill but not final outcome in a novel mouse model of Staphylococcus aureus spinal implant infection. PLoS One 12:e0173019.
6. Jorgensen NP, Hansen K, Andreasen CM, et al. 2017. Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy is Ineffective as an Adjuvant to Daptomycin with Rifampicin Treatment in a Murine Model of Staphylococcus aureus in Implant-Associated Osteomyelitis. Microorganisms 5.
7. Hegde V, Dworsky EM, Stavrakis AI, et al. 2017. Single-Dose, Preoperative Vitamin-D Supplementation Decreases Infection in a Mouse Model of Periprosthetic Joint Infection. J Bone Joint Surg Am 99:1737-1744.
8. Yokogawa N, Ishikawa M, Nishitani K, et al. 2018. Immunotherapy synergizes with debridement and antibiotic therapy in a murine 1-stage exchange model of MRSA implant-associated osteomyelitis. J Orthop Res 36:1590-1598.
9. Kobayashi H, Fujita R, Hiratsuka S, et al. 2022. Differential effects of anti-RANKL monoclonal antibody and zoledronic acid on necrotic bone in a murine model of Staphylococcus aureus-induced osteomyelitis. J Orthop Res 40:614-623.
10. Burke ZDC, Hart CM, Kelley BV, et al. 2023. Monoclonal Antibody Disrupts Biofilm Structure and Restores Antibiotic Susceptibility in an Orthopedic Implant Infection Model. Antibiotics (Basel) 12.

11. Ren Y, Weeks J, Xue T, et al. 2023. Evidence of bisphosphonate-conjugated sitafloxacin eradication of established methicillin-resistant S. aureus infection with osseointegration in murine models of implant-associated osteomyelitis. Bone Res 11:51.
12. Barnea Y, Lerner A, Aizic A, et al. 2016. Efficacy of dalbavancin in the treatment of MRSA rat sternal osteomyelitis with mediastinitis. J Antimicrob Chemother 71:460-463.
13. Brinkman CL, Schmidt-Malan SM, Karau MJ, et al. 2019. A novel rat model of foreign body osteomyelitis for evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy. J Exp Appl Anim Sci 3:7-14.
14. Burch MA, Keshishian A, Wittmann C, et al. 2021. The non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug carprofen negatively impacts new bone formation and antibiotic efficacy in a rat model of orthopaedic-device-related infection. Eur Cell Mater 41:739-755.
15. Choi YS, Kim YH, An HM, et al. 2023. Efficacy of Silver Nanoparticles-Loaded Bone Cement against an MRSA Induced-Osteomyelitis in a Rat Model. Medicina (Kaunas) 59.
16. Dai T, Ma C, Zhang F, et al. 2024. The Efficacy and Safety of an Intra-articular Dual-Acting Antibacterial Agent (TNP-2092) for Implant Infection-Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Infect Dis 229:1658-1668.
17. Dos Reis JA, Jr., Dos Santos JN, Barreto BS, et al. 2015. Photodynamic Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (PACT) in osteomyelitis induced by Staphylococcus aureus: Microbiological and histological study. J Photochem Photobiol B 149:235-242.
18. Dvorzhinskiy A, Perino G, Chojnowski R, et al. 2021. Ceramic composite with gentamicin decreases persistent infection and increases bone formation in a rat model of debrided osteomyelitis. J Bone Jt Infect 6:283-293.
19. Egawa S, Hirai K, Matsumoto R, et al. 2020. Efficacy of Antibiotic-Loaded Hydroxyapatite/Collagen Composites Is Dependent on Adsorbability for Treating Staphylococcus aureus Osteomyelitis in Rats. J Orthop Res 38:843-851.
20. Ehrensberger MT, Tobias ME, Nodzo SR, et al. 2015. Cathodic voltage-controlled electrical stimulation of titanium implants as treatment for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus periprosthetic infections. Biomaterials 41:97-105.
21. Eren Boncu T, Uskudar Guclu A, Catma MF, et al. 2020. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of linezolid loaded electrospun PLGA and PLGA/PCL fiber mats for prophylaxis and treatment of MRSA induced prosthetic infections. Int J Pharm 573:118758.
22. Ersoy A, Say F, Tokur O, et al. 2024. High-dose vancomycin spacers provided early recovery without nephrotoxicity compared with standard-dose in MRSA-induced periprosthetic joint infection model of rats. Knee 49:125-134.
23. Fang CH, Tsai PI, Huang SW, et al. 2017. Magnetic hyperthermia enhance the treatment efficacy of peri-implant osteomyelitis. BMC Infect Dis 17:516.
24. Freischmidt H, Armbruster J, Rothhaas C, et al. 2022. Efficacy of an Antibiotic Loaded Ceramic-Based Bone Graft Substitute for the Treatment of Infected Non-Unions. Biomedicines 10.
25. Fuglsang-Madsen AJ, Henriksen NL, Chavez ES, et al. 2024. Eradication of Staphylococcus aureus in Implant-Associated Osteomyelitis by an Injectable In Situ-Forming Depot Antibiotics Delivery System. J Infect Dis 230:614-623.
26. Garcia EJ, Sieg RN, Abdelgawad AA. 2013. Local application of free antibiotic powder in the treatment of osteomyelitis in a rat model. Orthopedics 36:e986-989.
27. Gerivani B, Staji H, Rassouli M, et al. 2020. Co-administration of Erythromycin and Leech Salivary Extract Alleviates Osteomyelitis in Rats Induced by Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol 33:243-251.
28. Goetz J, Keyssner V, Hanses F, et al. 2022. Animal experimental investigation on the efficacy of antibiotic therapy with linezolid, vancomycin, cotrimoxazole, and rifampin in treatment of periprosthetic knee joint infections by MRSA. Bone Joint Res 11:143-151.
29. Goto B, Iriuchishima T, Horaguchi T, et al. 2011. Therapeutic effect of photodynamic therapy using Na-pheophorbide a on osteomyelitis models in rats. Photomed Laser Surg 29:183-189.

30. Greimel F, Scheuerer C, Gessner A, et al. 2017. Efficacy of antibiotic treatment of implant-associated Staphylococcus aureus infections with moxifloxacin, flucloxacillin, rifampin, and combination therapy: an animal study. Drug Des Devel Ther 11:1729-1736.
31. Inanmaz ME, Uslu M, Isik C, et al. 2014. Extracorporeal shockwave increases the effectiveness of systemic antibiotic treatment in implant-related chronic osteomyelitis: experimental study in a rat model. J Orthop Res 32:752-756.
32. Jia B, Zhang Z, Zhuang Y, et al. 2022. High-strength biodegradable zinc alloy implants with antibacterial and osteogenic properties for the treatment of MRSA-induced rat osteomyelitis. Biomaterials 287:121663.
33. Jung SW, Oh SH, Lee IS, et al. 2019. In Situ Gelling Hydrogel with Anti-Bacterial Activity and Bone Healing Property for Treatment of Osteomyelitis. Tissue Eng Regen Med 16:479-490.
34. Karau MJ, Schmidt-Malan SM, Albano M, et al. 2020. Novel Use of Rifabutin and Rifapentine to Treat Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a Rat Model of Foreign Body Osteomyelitis. J Infect Dis 222:1498-1504.
35. Karau MJ, Schmidt-Malan SM, Cunningham SA, et al. 2022. Activity of Omadacycline in Rat Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Osteomyelitis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 66:e0170321.
36. Kaya GS, Kaya M, Gursan N, et al. 2011. The use of 808-nm light therapy to treat experimental chronic osteomyelitis induced in rats by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Photomed Laser Surg 29:405-412.
37. Kaya M, Simsek-Kaya G, Gursan N, et al. 2012. Local treatment of chronic osteomyelitis with surgical debridement and tigecycline-impregnated calcium hydroxyapatite: an experimental study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 113:340-347.
38. Kemah B, Uzer G, Turhan Y, et al. 2018. Effects of Local Application of Nano-silver on Osteomyelitis and Soft Tissue Infections: An Experimental Study in Rats. J Bone Jt Infect 3:43-49.
39. Kussmann M, Obermueller M, Berndl F, et al. 2018. Dalbavancin for treatment of implant-related methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus osteomyelitis in an experimental rat model. Sci Rep 8:9661.
40. Lingscheid T, Poeppl W, Bernitzky D, et al. 2015. Daptomycin plus fosfomycin, a synergistic combination in experimental implant-associated osteomyelitis due to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in rats. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:859-863.
41. Lovati AB, Romano CL, Bottagisio M, et al. 2016. Modeling Staphylococcus epidermidis-Induced Non-Unions: Subclinical and Clinical Evidence in Rats. PLoS One 11:e0147447.
42. Mdingi VS, Gens L, Mys K, et al. 2024. Short-Term Celecoxib Promotes Bone Formation without Compromising Cefazolin Efficacy in an Early Orthopaedic Device-Related Infection: Evidence from a Rat Model. Antibiotics (Basel) 13.
43. Neyisci C, Erdem Y, Bilekli AB, et al. 2018. Treatment of implant-related methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus osteomyelitis with vancomycin-loaded VK100 silicone cement: An experimental study in rats. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 26:2309499017754093.
44. Ofluoglu EA, Bulent E, Derya AM, et al. 2012. Efficiency of antibiotic-loaded polymethylmethacrylate rods for treatment of the implant-related infections in rat spine. J Spinal Disord Tech 25:E48-52.
45. Ozturan KE, Yucel I, Kocoglu E, et al. 2010. Efficacy of moxifloxacin compared to teicoplanin in the treatment of implant-related chronic osteomyelitis in rats. J Orthop Res 28:1368-1372.
46. Poeppl W, Lingscheid T, Bernitzky D, et al. 2014. Efficacy of fosfomycin compared to vancomycin in treatment of implant-associated chronic methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus osteomyelitis in rats. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:5111-5116.

47. Poeppl W, Tobudic S, Lingscheid T, et al. 2011. Daptomycin, fosfomycin, or both for treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus osteomyelitis in an experimental rat model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:4999-5003.
48. Qi XY, Qiu XS, Jiang JY, et al. 2019. Microwaves increase the effectiveness of systemic antibiotic treatment in acute bone infection: experimental study in a rat model. J Orthop Surg Res 14:286.
49. Ramot Y, Steiner M, Amouyal N, et al. 2021. Treatment of contaminated radial fracture in Sprague-Dawley rats by application of a degradable polymer releasing gentamicin. J Toxicol Pathol 34:11-22.
50. Roukoz S, El Khoury G, Saghbini E, et al. 2020. Does the induced membrane have antibacterial properties? An experimental rat model of a chronic infected nonunion. Int Orthop 44:391-398.
51. Silva V, Antao HS, Guimaraes J, et al. 2020. Efficacy of dalbavancin against MRSA biofilms in a rat model of orthopaedic implant-associated infection. J Antimicrob Chemother 75:2182-2187.
52. Siverino C, Freitag L, Arens D, et al. 2021. Titanium Wear Particles Exacerbate S. epidermidis-Induced Implant-Related Osteolysis and Decrease Efficacy of Antibiotic Therapy. Microorganisms 9.
53. Thompson K, Freitag L, Styger U, et al. 2021. Impact of low bone mass and antiresorptive therapy on antibiotic efficacy in a rat model of orthopedic device-related infection. J Orthop Res 39:415-425.
54. Vergidis P, Schmidt-Malan SM, Mandrekar JN, et al. 2015. Comparative activities of vancomycin, tigecycline and rifampin in a rat model of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus osteomyelitis. J Infect 70:609-615.
55. Wei J, Tong K, Wang H, et al. 2022. Intra-articular versus systemic vancomycin for the treatment of periprosthetic joint infection after debridement and spacer implantation in a rat model. Bone Joint Res 11:371-385.
56. Wei J, Tong K, Zhou S, et al. 2021. Intra-wound vancomycin powder for the eradication of periprosthetic joint infection after debridement and implant exchange: experimental study in a rat model. BMC Microbiol 21:333.
57. Yamamuro Y, Kabata T, Nojima T, et al. 2023. Combined adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell and antibiotic therapy can effectively treat periprosthetic joint infection in rats. Sci Rep 13:3949.
58. Yoshitani J, Kabata T, Arakawa H, et al. 2020. Combinational therapy with antibiotics and antibiotic-loaded adipose-derived stem cells reduce abscess formation in implant-related infection in rats. Sci Rep 10:11182.
59. Yucel MO, Turhan Y, Arican M, et al. 2022. Rifaximine spacer application is not superior to local teicoplanin treatment in a rat model of osteomyelitis. North Clin Istanb 9:505-513.
60. Beenken KE, Smith JK, Skinner RA, et al. 2014. Chitosan coating to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of calcium sulfate-based antibiotic therapy in the treatment of chronic osteomyelitis. J Biomater Appl 29:514-523.
61. Amador G, Gautier H, Le Mabecque V, et al. 2010. In vivo assessment of the antimicrobial activity of a calcium-deficient apatite vancomycin drug delivery system in a methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus rabbit osteomyelitis experimental model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:950-952.
62. Gaudin A, Amador Del Valle G, Hamel A, et al. 2011. A new experimental model of acute osteomyelitis due to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in rabbit. Lett Appl Microbiol 52:253-257.
63. Kimishima K, Matsuno T, Makiishi J, et al. 2016. Effects of gatifloxaine content in gatifloxacine-loaded PLGA and β-tricalcium phosphate composites on efficacy in treating osteomyelitis. Odontology 104:105-113.

64. Fu T, Yu M, Yan Q, et al. 2018. Bacteriocin Isolated from Lactobacillus Rhamnosus L34 Has Antibacterial Effects in a Rabbit Model of Infection After Mandible Fracture Fixation. Med Sci Monit 24:8009-8014.
65. Gatin L, Mghir AS, Mouton W, et al. 2019. Colistin-containing cement spacer for treatment of experimental carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae prosthetic joint infection. Int J Antimicrob Agents 54:456-462.
66. Davido B, Crémieux AC, Vaugier I, et al. 2023. Efficacy of ceftazidime/avibactam in various combinations for the treatment of experimental osteomyelitis in rabbits caused by OXA-48-/ESBL-producing Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother 78:1211-1218.
67. Aktekin CN, Ozturk AM, Tabak AY, et al. 2007. A different perspective for radiological evaluation of experimental osteomyelitis. Skeletal radiology 36:945-950.
68. Blirup-Plum SA, Bjarnsholt T, Jensen HE, et al. 2020. Pathological and microbiological impact of a gentamicin-loaded biocomposite following limited or extensive debridement in a porcine model of osteomyelitis. Bone Joint Res 9:394-401.
69. Vittrup S, Jensen LK, Hartmann KT, et al. 2024. Rifampicin does not reduce moxifloxacin concentrations at the site of infection and may not improve treatment outcome of a one-stage exchange surgery protocol of implant-associated osteomyelitis lesions in a porcine model. APMIS 132:198-209.
70. Lin J, Suo J, Bao B, et al. 2024. Efficacy of EDTA-NS irrigation in eradicating Staphylococcus aureus biofilm-associated infection. Bone Joint Res 13:40-51.
71. Alegrete N, Sousa SR, Padrão T, et al. 2023. Vancomycin-loaded, nanohydroxyapatite-based scaffold for osteomyelitis treatment: in vivo rabbit toxicological tests and in vivo efficacy tests in a sheep model. Bioengineering 10:206.
72. Boot W, Foster AL, Guillaume O, et al. 2022. An antibiotic-loaded hydrogel demonstrates efficacy as prophylaxis and treatment in a large animal model of orthopaedic device-related infection. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 12:826392.
73. Foster AL, Boot W, Stenger V, et al. 2021. Single-stage revision of MRSA orthopedic device-related infection in sheep with an antibiotic-loaded hydrogel. Journal of Orthopaedic Research® 39:438-448.
74. Moriarty T, Schmid T, Post V, et al. 2017. A large animal model for a failed two-stage revision of intramedullary nail-related infection by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Eur Cell Mater 34:83-98.
75. Nakahara I, Ando W, Enami H, et al. 2024. Therapeutic efficacy of vancomycin-loaded carbon fiber-reinforced polyetheretherketone hip stem for periprosthetic joint infection: A pilot study. Journal of Orthopaedic Research® 42:474-483.