

Preoperative Nutrition Screening Predicts 90-Day Surgical Site Infections in Elective Spine Surgery: A Prospective Cohort Study

Pitcherita Anthenor¹, Phillip Alexeev¹, Molly Szpakowski¹, Damon Abaray², Ryan Snowden^{1,3}

¹Thomas F. Frist, Jr. College of Medicine, Belmont University, Nashville, TN, ²Ascension Saint Thomas Midtown, Nashville, TN

³Tennessee Orthopaedic Alliance, Nashville, TN

pitcherita.anthenor@bruins.belmont.edu

Disclosures: Pitcherita Anthenor (N), Phillip Alexeev (N), Molly Szpakowski (N), Damon Abaray (N), Ryan Snowden (Kuros; Medtronic; SI Bone; Pressio Spine)

INTRODUCTION:

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are a significant complication in undernourished patients. While some of the impacts of malnutrition on surgical site infections are documented, the role of Nutrition Screen (NS) in independently predicting 90-day surgical site infection (SSI) after elective posterior thoracolumbar fusion remains understudied.

METHODS:

We conducted a prospective cohort study of 626 adults electively treated at a tertiary center from 2024–2025. Patient demographics, perioperative factors, and NS category (well-nourished versus at-risk/malnourished) were analyzed. Logistic regression and elastic net sensitivity analysis were used to identify independent predictors of infection.

RESULTS SECTION:

Among 674 adults undergoing elective posterior thoracolumbar fusion, the 90-day SSI rate was 6.2 (42/674). Mean age was 57 ± 13 years, 56% female; mean BMI 29 ± 5 kg/m²; mean operative time 301 ± 78 minutes. On univariate analysis, SSI was associated with higher BMI (31 ± 6 vs 29 ± 5 kg/m²; p = 0.04), longer operative time (352 ± 82 vs 289 ± 71 minutes; p < 0.001), lower hemoglobin (11.2 ± 1.3 vs 12.4 ± 1.5 g/dL; p < 0.001), and a positive Nutrition Screen (NS) (48% vs 29%; p = 0.02); EBL > 1000 mL (21% vs 14%; p = 0.20) and ASA class (p = 0.28) were not significant. Multivariable analysis identified independent predictors: at-risk/malnourished by NS (OR 1.75, 95% CI 1.01–3.05), BMI (OR 1.06 per kg/m²), operative time (OR 1.004 per minute; ≈1.24× per hour), lower hemoglobin (OR 0.76 per g/dL; protective), and prior infection (OR 3.70). Discrimination was acceptable (AUC 0.76; optimism-corrected 0.74) with excellent calibration (HL χ^2 3.7, p = 0.88). Elastic-net sensitivity retained NS, operative time, hemoglobin, EBL > 1000 mL, and prior infection (AUC 0.74).

DISCUSSION:

A simple NS performed during routine preoperative assessment provides significant predictive value for identifying patients at higher risk of SSIs. Further studies are needed to determine whether using this screening tool can reduce SSIs, shorten hospital stays, and lower readmission rates in our surgical spine patients.

SIGNIFICANCE/CLINICAL RELEVANCE:

The NS integrates easily into pre-operative workflows, requires little training, and enables timely optimization steps such as nutrition consultation, supplementation, and anemia management. For surgeons and peri-operative teams, it supports routine screening to identify at-risk patients, improves risk communication during shared decision-making, and helps prioritize modifiable interventions to reduce infections and downstream costs.

IMAGES AND TABLES:

Table 1. Summary statistics of all patients undergoing elective spine surgery from 2024–2025 who received the Nutrition Screen (NS) (N=626).

Variable	Category/Units	N	or Mean (%) or SD	Median	Range
Demographics					
AGE		61	13.21	63.00	18 - 93
BMI		34	6.93	30.40	2.7 - 66
Comorbidities					
Diabetes	N	303	74.63		
Diabetes	Y	171	25.37		
Smoking	N	841	80.27		
Smoking	Y	133	19.73		
History Prior Infection	N	645	95.70		
History Prior Infection	Y	29	4.30		
Clinical Assessment					
PRELIMINARY		27	6.06	26.00	6 - 59
ASA		3	0.32	3.00	1 - 4
Preop Hg		14	1.61	13.30	8.7 - 20
Pre-Bun/crea		27	6.06	26.00	6 - 59
Malnutrition		0	0.29	0.00	0 - 1
MNAN	Normal	499	72.70		
MNAN	At Risk	129	17.30		
Surgical Variables					
Spine Primary Diagnosis	DISGEN	532	78.93		
Spine Primary Diagnosis	DEFORMITY	126	19.69		
Spine Primary Diagnosis	TRAUMATIC	89	13.20		
Spine Primary Diagnosis	ONCOLOGY	4	0.59		
Level (Cervical)		1	1.09	0.00	0 - 7
Level (Cervical)		1	2.22	0.00	0 - 12
Level (Lumbar)		2	3.66	3.00	0 - 21
Level (Lumbar)		4	3.66	3.00	0 - 21
Total# Levels		4	3.66	3.00	0 - 21
Surgical Approach (A, P, A/P)					
Surgical Approach (A, P, A/P)	A	431	64.54		
Surgical Approach (A, P, A/P)	P	129	17.90		
Surgical Approach (A, P, A/P)	AP	119	17.66		
Staged Procedure					
Staged Procedure	N	583	86.80		
Staged Procedure	Y	89	13.20		
Instrumentation					
Instrumentation	Y	578	83.76		
Instrumentation	N	96	14.24		
PIED or VCR	N	627	93.03		
PIED or VCR	Y	47	6.97		
Dural Tear or CSF Leak					
Dural Tear or CSF Leak	N	658	97.63		
Dural Tear or CSF Leak	Y	16	2.37		
EBL >1000cc					
EBL >1000cc	N	640	94.36		
EBL >1000cc	Y	34	5.64		
Total OR Time					
Total OR Time	N	202	99.84	182.00	24 - 489
SS	Y	42	0.06		
SS	N	632	0.04		

© - Nutrition Screen Assessment

Table 2. Univariate Statistics comparing patients with and without a surgical site infection (SSI).

Variable	Univariate Statistical Analysis				P-Value	
	SSI No (N)	SSI No (Mean ± SD) (%)	SSI Yes (N)	SSI Yes (Mean ± SD) (%)		
Demographics						
AGE	632	61.2 ± 13.3	42	61.9 ± 12.3	0.719	
BMI	632	30.9 ± 6.9	42	33.7 ± 7.2	0.047	
Comorbidities						
Diabetes	N	546	74.6%	16	38.1%	0.066
Diabetes	Y	477	79.4%	26	61.9%	0.693
Smoking	N	123	16.0%	6	21.4%	0.214
Smoking	Y	309	40.3%	33	78.6%	0.006
History Prior Infection	N	596	93.3%	6	14.3%	0
History Prior Infection	Y	306	48.3%	36	83.3%	0
Clinical Assessment						
PRELIMINARY	N	632	26.9 ± 6.1	42	36.1 ± 5.3	0.382
ASA	N	8	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.117
Preop Hg	N	180	28.5%	0	14.3%	0
Preop Hg	Y	632	98.3%	34	81.0%	0
Pre-Bun/crea	N	12	1.9%	2	4.8%	0.001
Malnutrition	N	477	74.3%	22	52.4%	0
Malnutrition	Y	165	25.7%	20	47.6%	0
Surgical Variables						
Spine Primary Diagnosis	N	503	79.9%	27	64.3%	0.063
Spine Primary Diagnosis	Y	113	17.9%	13	31.0%	0
Spine Primary Diagnosis	DEFORMITY	11	1.7%	1	2.4%	0
Spine Primary Diagnosis	TRAUMATIC	349	54.8%	1	2.4%	0
Spine Primary Diagnosis	ONCOLOGY	632	98.3%	41	117 ± 2.08	0.462
Level (Cervical)	N	632	0.87 ± 1.66	41	2.20 ± 3.19	0.373
Level (Cervical)	Y	632	1.10 ± 2.47	41	2.61 ± 2.08	0.004
Level (Lumbar)	N	632	1.62 ± 1.83	41	2.11 ± 2.08	0.004
Level (Lumbar)	Y	632	4.0 ± 3.5	42	5.7 ± 4.8	0.079
Total# Levels	N	632	4.0 ± 3.5	42	5.7 ± 4.8	0.079
Total# Levels	Y	632	4.0 ± 3.5	42	5.7 ± 4.8	0.079
Surgical Approach (A, P, A/P)						
Surgical Approach (A, P, A/P)	A	405	64.0%	30	71.4%	0.024
Surgical Approach (A, P, A/P)	P	117	18.5%	3	7.1%	0.169
Surgical Approach (A, P, A/P)	AP	110	17.4%	9	21.4%	0
Staged Procedure						
Staged Procedure	N	83	13.2%	6	14.3%	0.814
Staged Procedure	Y	349	54.8%	36	85.7%	0
Instrumentation						
Instrumentation	Y	536	84.8%	42	100.0%	0.002
Instrumentation	N	86	13.2%	0	0.0%	0
PIED or VCR	N	42	6.5%	6	14.3%	0.155
PIED or VCR	Y	389	59.3%	36	85.7%	0
Dural Tear or CSF Leak						
Dural Tear or CSF Leak	N	18	2.8%	1	2.4%	1.000
Dural Tear or CSF Leak	Y	417	65.6%	41	97.6%	0
EBL >1000cc						
EBL >1000cc	N	31	4.9%	7	16.7%	0.007
EBL >1000cc	Y	601	95.1%	35	83.3%	0.001
Total OR Time	N	632	108.4 ± 98.2	42	239.0 ± 110.0	0

* Significant when <0.05

Table 3. Multivariate Regression Analysis defining significant predictors of SSI.

Variable	Multivariate Regression Analysis			
	OR	CI_lower	CI_upper	p_value
BMI	1.063	1.021	1.108	0.003
Total# Levels	1.045	0.956	1.143	0.335
Preop Hg	0.759	0.614	0.937	0.010
Total OR Time	1.004	1.000	1.007	0.046
Nutrition Assessment WNL	0.570	0.472	0.688	0.000
EBL >1000cc (Y)	1.659	0.580	4.744	0.345
History of prior infection (Y)	3.668	1.331	10.108	0.012
ASA_2	191678.183	0.000	inf	0.991
ASA_3	282491.738	0.000	inf	0.987
ASA_4	409811.111	79905.457	2101798.225	0.000